UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,072	07/31/2006	Hirotoshi Kamata	Q79896	2013
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/15/2007		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/588,072	KAMATA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
•		Raymond Covington	1625			
Dariad fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period fo	·	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTU	(C) OD THIDTY (20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Section</u>	eptember 2007.				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikito et al JP11246541, (1999), English abstract (best available) in view of Hiwara et al US 6166100.

The references are applied as I the previous office action. Mikito et al teach oxetane carbamates substituted with a bifunctional aliphatic residue (read acryloyl). See the abstract. While Mikito et al does not specifically teach a acryloyl substituent linked to carbamate Hiwara et al does teach analogous oxetanes having this group in order to obtain good dispersibility and flow properties. See, for example, column 1 lines 34-44, column 2 lines 1+ particularly lines 45-50. To modify Mikito et al to obtain these properties in light of these teachings would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and therefore unpatentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,072

Art Unit: 1625

Applicants' comments have been noted and considered but are not deemed persuasive of patentability. It is noted that X in the Mikito et al formula corresponds to applicants' R¹-C(C=)-C(O=)-A- in formula (1). X is a bifunctional aliphatic residue. C= and O= are well-known and recognized organic functional groups and would have been readily apparent to one ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mikito et al JP11246541, (1999), English abstract (best available).

The references are applied as in the previous office action. Mikito et al teach of reacting an oxetane with an isocyanate using a tertiary amine catalyst in a process analogous to that recited in the claims. See the abstract. To use somewhat different but otherwise analogous starting materials in an otherwise known process would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as the results, substituted oxetane carbamates, would not have been unexpected. Please also note the comments in the next above rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres at telephone number (571) 272-0867.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Janet Andres SPE Art Unit 1625

JE RKC

DEDV